

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/520,838	GRIMM ET AL.
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Fiona T. Powers

(3) _____

(2) Anthony Bisulca

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 10 and 12-14

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Bisulca to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following were done: 1)in claims 1, 10 and 12 the phrase "wherein the unsubstituted phenyl" should be changed to -wherein the substituted phenyl-, 2) in claim 12, reference to R6 shoud be deleted since R6 does not appear in the formula and 3)claims 13 and 14 should be deleted since the compounds of claims 10 and 12 which they refer to are intermediates and are not pigments. It was agreed that these changes would be made by examiner's amendment..